

A description of those scenarios considered most likely to have contributed to the spread of southern saltmarsh mosquito to different areas in New Zealand

As indicated in the discussion above and by the information contained in Tables 8-10, there are a number of pathways that may have contributed to the spread of *Oc. camptorhynchus* to different areas in New Zealand. Despite undertaking a systematic examination of the possible pathways of entry and spread, the mystery remains as to whether there have been one or more introductions of *Oc. camptorhynchus* into New Zealand. Moreover, although the spread of this mosquito invader from Kaipara Harbour to Mangawhai and Whangaparaoa Peninsula, Wairau Lagoons to Lake Grassmere, and possibly Napier to Mahia, may be accounted for through wind-assisted dispersal, the spread of this species from Napier to Gisborne and Porangahau, also Napier or Kaipara Harbour to Whitford, and the arrival of *Oc. camptorhynchus* to the top of the South Island (i.e., non-contiguous distribution) cannot be readily explained.

Notably, the discovery of *Oc. camptorhynchus* in the South Island post-dated the eradication¹ of the mosquito from Napier and Mahia (Maungawhio Lagoon), and a period of at least 18 months of no detections of adult or immature *Oc. camptorhynchus* following treatment at Gisborne (Wherowhero Lagoon and Sponge Bay), Porangahau, Mangawhai and Whitford. Given the biological characteristics of *Oc. camptorhynchus* and means of spread discussed in the previous sections, as well as the rapid population decline of this mosquito in treated areas, there is no biologically plausible explanation for the spread of this mosquito to Wairau estuarine area/Lake Grassmere. This obviously raises the question of whether a second introduction occurred. However, with reference to Tables 6-7, such an event in itself is a very remote possibility.

Consequently, the possibility of the deliberate illegal introduction/spread of *Oc. camptorhynchus*, whatever the motive, cannot be ignored.

¹ After two years of no finds

Table 9: Areas of *Oc. camptorhynchus* infestation in New Zealand and the corresponding stage of any eradication/control programme in those areas

Area of infestation	Month/year <i>Oc. camptorhynchus</i> infestation confirmed	Stage of eradication/containment programme	Distance from nearest known infestation in New Zealand
Napier (~650 ha)	December 1998	Eradication declared July 2002 ¹	Not applicable
Gisborne (including Wherowhero Lagoon and Sponge Bay) (~85 ha)	October 2000	Last detection September 2002, eradication programme completed ¹ September 2004	~120 km from Napier
Porangahau (~35 ha)	November 2000	Last detection August 2002, eradication programme completed ¹ August 2004	~85 km from Napier
Maungawhio Lagoon, Mahia (~63 ha)	November 2000	Eradication declared November 2003 ¹	~95 km from Napier
Kaipara Harbour (~2700 ha)	February 2001	Last detection February 2004, eradication programme due to be completed ¹ February 2006	~385 km from Napier and Gisborne
Mangawhai (similar to Whitford)	April 2001	Last detection December 2002, eradication programme completed ¹ December 2004	~30 km from Kaipara Harbour
Whitford (~1 ha)	March 2002	Last detection November 2002, eradication programme completed ¹ November 2004	~60 km from Kaipara Harbour
Whangaparaoa Peninsula (~22 ha)	January 2004	Last detection March 2004, eradication programme proceeding	~35 km from Kaipara Harbour
Wairau Lagoons near Blenheim/Lake Grassmere (~960 ha)	May 2004	Eradication programme proceeding	~545 km from Kaipara Harbour [~330 km from Napier ²]

¹ Following two years of no finds

² However, *Oc. camptorhynchus* had not been detected in Napier since mid 2000 suggesting that the low, if not zero, populations were nevertheless an unlikely source of the Wairau Lagoons/Lake Grassmere infestation (which may have been present for two years or longer according to SSM TAG (28 June 2004 meeting)).

Table 10: Means of spread and measures in place to minimize the risk of *Oc. camptorhynchus* spreading via these means

Means of spread	Measures Adopted to Prevent or Reduce the Spread of Mosquitoes via this Means	Any Additional Measures that may be considered to Prevent the Spread of Mosquitoes via this Means
Natural spread by adults from an infested area	Timely treatment (with <i>Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis</i> or S-methoprene) of infested areas	–
Immature stages in a water receptacle (e.g. used and/or spare tyres) transported between an infested area and an uninfested area	No enforceable measures available.	Ministry of Health mosquito awareness programmes may assist.
As adults on light aircraft flown from an infested area to an uninfested area	Disinsection of aircraft [Aircraft disinsection was undertaken for all flights departing Napier Airport from January 1999 (Ruud Kleinpaste <i>pers. comm.</i>) to December 2000 (SSM TAG Notes of 4 December 2000 Meeting); Aircraft disinsection was instigated for flights departing Gisborne in October 2000 (SSM TAG Notes of 13 October 2000 Meeting.)]	–
As adults inside vehicles (cars, trucks) or caravans with the road transport of people or livestock	No enforceable measures available.	Ministry of Health mosquito awareness programmes may assist.
As adults inside the cabins of boats moved from an infested area to an uninfested area	No enforceable measures available.	Ministry of Health mosquito awareness programmes may assist. Perhaps s131 (Declaration of controlled area) of the Biosecurity Act could be utilized to good effect in regard to this means of spread.
Deliberate illegal (man-instigated) spread	No person shall knowingly communicate, cause to be communicated, release, or cause	–

Means of spread	Measures Adopted to Prevent or Reduce the Spread of Mosquitoes via this Means	Any Additional Measures that may be considered to Prevent the Spread of Mosquitoes via this Means
	<p>to be released, or otherwise spread any pest or unwanted organism (s52 Biosecurity Act 1993). It is an offence under the Biosecurity Act 1993 if one fails or refuses to comply with s52 (s154(m) Biosecurity Act 1993). <i>Oc. camptorhynchus</i> was declared to be an unwanted organism in January 1999 (Ministry of Health <i>pers. comm.</i>). Penalties, in the case of an individual person convicted of such an offence are imprisonment for a term not exceeding five years, a fine not exceeding \$100,000, or both. Such penalties should operate as a deterrent to spreading <i>Oc. camptorhynchus</i>.</p>	
Wind dispersal of adults	None possible (although timely treatment (with <i>Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis</i> or S-methoprene) of infested areas will obviously reduce the probability).	Not applicable.
Inadvertent transport of eggs by bird watchers or duck shooters	No enforceable measures available.	Ministry of Health mosquito awareness programmes, in conjunction with organizations like Fish and Game New Zealand may assist.
Inadvertent transport of eggs by migratory birds	None possible (although timely treatment (with <i>Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis</i> or S-methoprene) of infested areas will obviously reduce the probability).	Not applicable.

Recommendations

While there is no obvious pathway of entry and only some of the spread of *Oc. camptorhynchus* is readily accounted for by wind assisted natural dispersal of adult mosquitoes, the following additional measures specifically identified in this report in Tables 6 and 10 would further enhance New Zealand's management of exotic mosquitoes, and hence it is recommended that they be implemented:

- Enforcement of compliance with the **pre-shipment** import requirements stipulated in the IHS for used forestry and agricultural equipment (26) to reduce the incidence of exotic mosquitoes (particularly container-breeding species such as *Ae. albopictus* and *Oc. japonicus*) entering New Zealand.
- If the IHS for treated used vehicles (28) is retained, ensure that the heat treatment effectively kills desiccation-resistant mosquito eggs which may go unnoticed during the inspection for visible contamination.
- With reference to the IHS for soil and water (27) and the vessel inspection procedures followed by inspectors, suitable treatments (e.g., spraying with a 1% chlorine solution (19)) should be specified in detail. In addition, the definition of contamination (at least in the context of potential mosquito habitat) needs to be clarified to mean "any surface of a receptacle or other item containing water, or dry but likely to have held water".
- Proposed changes to the International Health Regulations pointing to a greater emphasis on ship sanitation, including the requirement for "every conveyance leaving a point of entry situated in an area where vector control is recommended shall be disinfected and kept free of vectors" (71) should be vigorously supported.
- With reference to the IHS for sea containers (30), indicate that the supply of dual-action aerosol insecticide referred to in section 7.1 needs to be on-hand when opening the door of any shipping container for unpacking or inspection.
- With reference to the IHS for sea containers (30), open shipping containers (specifically those that have an open top, covered by removable canvas) should be deemed to be high risk, and therefore subject to external inspection (for evidence of water collected in the soft top), fumigation with methyl bromide, or decontamination by an

approved method. In addition, the definition of contamination (at least in the context of potential mosquito habitat) needs to be clarified to mean “any external surface of the shipping container containing water, or dry but likely to have held water”.

- While the IHS covering the importation of nursery stock (34) includes basic conditions requiring that all whole plants and cuttings be treated for insects, the effectiveness of each of the three treatments against mosquitoes (especially desiccation-resistant eggs) should be confirmed.
- Incorporate *Ae. albopictus* in Appendix 1(a) of the IHS for cut flowers and branches of *Cordyline* and *Dracaena* species. Also the IHS covering all other cut flowers and branches (33) should require that cut flowers and branches shall not be shipped or contained in free-standing water. Furthermore, in the standard covering the clearance of fresh cut flowers and foliage (32), specific mention of mosquitoes (especially mosquito eggs) in the **Inspection** section could usefully be made, so that any wet/damp packing material is appropriately **treated**. Inspection will not result in the detection of mosquito eggs even if they are present.
- Although no enforceable measures are available, Ministry of Health mosquito awareness programmes could assist in reducing the risk of immature *Oc. camptorhynchus* being spread in water receptacles transported between an infested area and an uninfested area.
- Similarly, Ministry of Health mosquito awareness programmes would assist in lowering the probability of adults being transported inside vehicles or caravans with the road transport of people or livestock.
- Also, Ministry of Health mosquito awareness programmes, in conjunction with organizations like Fish and Game New Zealand may help reduce the inadvertent transport of mosquito eggs by bird watchers or duck shooters as well as the transport of adult mosquitoes inside the cabins of boats moved from an infested area to an uninfested area.

Research suggestions

In the course of this review it was apparent that some matters could not be resolved with reference to currently available information, publications or calling upon the experience of long time Australian medical entomologists such as Brian Kay, Mike Lindsay, Scott Ritchie, Richard Russell and Peter Whelan. However, some matters could be further informed through

additional research. For example, the duration of egg survival of a floodwater species like *Oc. camptorhynchus* does not appear to favour successful spread through the inadvertent carriage by bird watchers or duckshooters from site to site. Nevertheless, the frequency that such carriage is suggested as a means of spread indicates that further investigation may be warranted. Indeed some laboratory studies examining the possibility of *Oc. camptorhynchus* being picked up on footwear and carried to another site could be considered. Needless to say, such studies could include variables such as different egg densities required for carriage to be initiated, varying lengths of time of carriage and any effects on the viability of eggs carried in such a manner.

Secondly, recovery of the saltmarsh species, *Oc. vigilax*, from rock pools (Peter Whelan, *pers. comm.*) raises the possibility that breeding of saltmarsh species such as *Oc. camptorhynchus* may occur, albeit very infrequently, in open structures where salt water has ponded. This possibility may also warrant field and laboratory research involving critical examination of the range of *Oc. camptorhynchus* breeding sites, including large open receptacles that receive some salt spray.

Lastly, as stated earlier, the question “do the areas of *Oc. camptorhynchus* infestation represent more than one introduction from Australia?” needs to be addressed if eradication efforts are not to be wasted. Despite this review, this question has not been answered. Some would say that molecular diagnostic techniques could be put to good use for this purpose, or to determine the location of the source population in Australia. Unfortunately, this is not the case – at least not in the short term and not in regard to all the North Island infestations for specimens have not been retained. More recently, protocols for the preservation and retention of specimens have been amended. Consequently, some *Oc. camptorhynchus* material from the Wairau Lagoons and Lake Grassmere may be analysed using molecular diagnostic techniques in the future. Firstly, however, baseline analyses need to be conducted on Australian *Oc. camptorhynchus* populations to establish whether there is sufficient population-level variation to be useful in identifying source populations of new incursions. Establishing such baseline information should be a research priority if resolution of such matters as “has there been more than one introduction of *Oc. camptorhynchus* into New Zealand” is to be progressed at all.

Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge the assistance of Dr Richard Russell (Associate Professor (Medical Entomology), Department of Medicine and School of Public Health, University of Sydney, and Director, Department of Medical Entomology, Centre for Infectious Disease and Microbiology, Westmead Hospital); Dr Scott Ritchie (Medical Entomologist, Tropical Public Health Unit, Queensland Health, Cairns); Dr Mike Lindsay (Medical Entomologist, Mosquito-borne Disease Control Branch, Western Australia Department of Health, Perth); Ruud Kleinpaste (Consultant Entomologist, Southern Saltmarsh Mosquito Technical Advisory Group); Tony Bromley (National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research); Mike Alexander and Carolyn Whyte (Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry) and Alyson Baker (Biosecurity Advisor, Public Health Directorate, Ministry of Health) in accessing relevant detailed information relating to mosquito biology, aircraft disinsection, prevailing winds at various sites, vessel clearance and/or mosquito interceptions.

Advice regarding international and domestic aircraft arrivals at Woodbourne and Omaka was gratefully received from Andy Rowe (Administration Manager, Safeair), Kelly Byrne (Operations Manager, Marlborough Airport Limited) and Kevin Wilkey (Chief Flying Instructor, Marlborough Aero Club). Similarly, Davor Bejakovich (Senior Fish and Game Officer, Fish & Game New Zealand) provided valuable advice regarding duck shooters. Steve Crarer (Blenheim Locality Manager, New Zealand Biosecure) kindly hosted my visit to the Wairau Lagoons, from which Murray Edmonds (Critique Limited) provided the plates included in the report.

Lastly, I wish to thank Dr Richard Russell and Dr Brian Kay for their thorough review of the penultimate draft of this report. Also I much appreciated receiving the reviews undertaken by the Ministry of Health's independent reviewers Peter Whelan (Senior Medical Entomologist, Northern Territory Department of Health and Community Services, Darwin) and Emeritus Professor Euan Young (Blenheim). Their comments have undoubtedly helped improve the ultimate report.

REFERENCES

- 1 Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry – Australia. Control program for exotic mosquito. Media release 18 November 1999.
- 2 Australian Government – Department of Health and Ageing. National Arbovirus and Malaria Surveillance Website. Northern Territory Media Release, 12 March 2004 – Dengue mosquito firmly established in Tennant Creek.
- 3 Ayres, C.F.J.; Romao, T.P.A.; Melo-Santos, M.A.V. and Furtado, A.F. 2002. Genetic diversity in Brazilian Populations of *Aedes albopictus*. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* 97(6): 871-875.
- 4 Biosecurity Act 1993. Wellington, New Zealand.
- 5 Collins, L.E. and Blackwell, A. 2000. The biology of *Toxorhynchites* mosquitoes and their potential as biocontrol agents. *Biocontrol News and Information* 21(4): 105-116.
- 6 Communicable Diseases Australia. Australia's notifiable disease status, 2000: Annual report of the National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System. *Communicable Diseases Intelligence* 26(2): June 2002.
- 7 Crans, W.J. *Aedes japonicus* Accidental Introduction to the Northeastern United States. *The Northeast – Newsletter of the Northeastern Mosquito Control Association*, April 1999, p. 3-4.
- 8 Craven, R.B.; Eliason, D.A.; Francy, D.B.; Reiter, P.; Campos, E.G.; Jakob, W.L.; Smith, G.C.; Bozzi, C.J. and Moore, C.G. 1988. Importation of *Aedes albopictus* and other exotic mosquito species into the United States in used tires from Asia. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* 4(2): 138-142.
- 9 Doherty, W.M.; Gibson, D.S.; Johnson, S.J.; Bellis, G.A. and Dyce, A.L. 1993. *Culicoides* survey of northern Australia, 1990-1992. *Arbovirus Research in Australia* 6: 200-202.
- 10 Elder, R. and Lamche, G. Update on the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito eradication campaign in Tennant Creek, NT. *The Northern Territory Disease Control Bulletin* 11(2): 1-2 (June 2004).
- 11 FAO. 1999. Glossary of Phytosanitary Terms. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures No. 5. Rome.
- 12 Fonseca, D.M.; Campbell, S.; Crans, W.J.; Mogi, M.; Miyagi, I.; Toma, T.; Bullians, M.; Andreadis, T.G.; Berry, R.L.; Pagac, B.; Sardelis, M.R. and Wilkerson, R.C. 2001. *Aedes (Finlaya) japonicus* (Diptera: Culicidae), a newly recognized mosquito in the United States: Analyses of genetic variation in the United States and putative source populations. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 38(2): 135-146.
- 13 Gratz, N.G.; Steffen, R. and Cocksedge, W. 2000. Why aircraft disinsection? *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 78(8): 995-1004.
- 14 International Health Regulations (1969). World Health Organization, Geneva, 1983.
- 15 Johansen, C.A.; Lindsay, M.D.A.; Harrington, S.A.; Whelan, P.I.; Russell, R.C. and Broom, A.K. First record of the mosquito species *Aedes (Aedimorphus) nocturnus* (Theobald) (Diptera: Culicidae) in Australia. *The Northern Territory Disease Control Bulletin* 11(2): 3-5 (June 2004).
- 16 Laird, M.; Calder, L.; Thornton, R.C.; Syme, R.; Holder, P.W. and Mogi, M. 1994. Japanese *Aedes albopictus* among four mosquito species reaching New Zealand in used tires. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* 10(1): 14-23.
- 17 Laird, M. and Easton, J.M. 1994. *Aedes notoscriptus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Wellington Province. *New Zealand Entomologist* 17: 14-17.

- 18 Laird, M. 1995. Background and findings of the 1993-94 New Zealand mosquito survey. *New Zealand Entomologist* 18: 77-90.
- 19 Lamche, G. and Whelan, P. 2002. Recommended chlorination procedures for receptacles containing mosquito eggs for quarantine purposes. *Bulletin of the Mosquito Control Association of Australia* 14(3): 14-18.
- 20 Linley, J.R.; Geary, M.J. and Russell, R.C. 1992. The eggs of *Aedes australis* and *Aedes camptorhynchus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Mosquito systematics* 24(1): 29-39.
- 21 Lounibos, L.P. 2002. Invasions by insect vectors of human disease. *Annual Review of Entomology* 47: 233-266.
- 22 Macfarlane, R.P.; Andrew, I.G.; Sinclair, B.J.; Harrison, R.A.; Dugdale, J.S.; Boothroyd, I.K.G.; Toft, R.; Marshall, S.A.; Johns, P.M.; Forsyth, D.G., Matile, L.; Holder, P. and Oliver, H.A. Checklist of New Zealand Diptera. 3 March 2000. <http://www.ento.org.nz/Diptera.htm>
- 23 Madon, M.B.; Mulla, M.S.; Shaw, M.W.; Kluh, S. and Hazelrigg, J.E. 2002. Introduction of *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse) in Southern California and potential for its establishment. *Journal of Vector Ecology* 27(1): 149-154.
- 24 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. 2003. Sea Container Review. MAF Discussion Paper No. 35. 116pp.
- 25 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. Import Health Standard Cut Flowers and Branches *Cordyline* and *Dracaena* species from All Countries. 14 March 2002. 25pp.
- 26 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. Import Health Standard for Forestry and Agricultural Equipment From Any Country. 18 March 1998. 6pp.
- 27 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. Import Health Standard for Soil, Rock, Gravel, Sand, Clay and Water From Any Country (BMG-STD-SOWTR). 10 July 2002. 7pp.
- 28 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. Import Health Standard for Treated Used Vehicles Imported Into New Zealand (BMG-STD-HTVEH). October 2003. 12pp.
- 29 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. Import Health Standard for Used Buses, Cars, Motor Cycles, Trucks, Utility Vehicles and Vans From Any Country. 11 September 2001. 7pp.
- 30 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. MAF Biosecurity Authority Import Health Standard for Sea Containers From All Countries (BMG-STD-SEACO). 1 September 2003. 14pp.
- 31 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. MAF Biosecurity Authority Import Health Standard BMG-STD-TYRES: Used Tyres from any Country. 1 April 2002. 8pp.
- 32 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. MAF Biosecurity Authority (Plants) Standard 152.09.05. Clearance of Fresh Cut Flowers and Foliage. 8 February 2002. v+19pp.
- 33 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. MAF Biosecurity Authority (Plants) Standard 155.02.04. Import Health Standard for Cut Flowers and Foliage. 8 February 2002. iv+15pp.
- 34 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. MAF Biosecurity Standard 155.02.06: Importation of Nursery Stock. 28 May 2004. 264pp.
- 35 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. MAF-Approved Transitional Facilities for Sea Container Devanning (Current as of 26 October 2004). PDF file 332pp. <http://www.maf.govt.nz/biosecurity/border/transitional-facilities/sea-containers/facility-list.pdf>
- 36 Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. New Zealand MAF Quarantine Service (MQS) and Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service (AQIS). Schedule of Aircraft Disinsection Procedures. 4 May 2004. 39pp.
- 37 Ministry of Health. 1997. Exclusion and Control of Exotic Mosquitoes of Public Health Significance. Report to the Minister for Biosecurity. Wellington. 48pp.

- 38 Ministry of Health Media Release – 4 September 2002.
- 39 Ministry of Health Media Release – 9 December 2002.
- 40 Ministry of Health Media Release – 12 March 2003.
- 41 Ministry of Health Media Release – 21 March 2003.
- 42 Ministry of Health Media Release – 16 April 2003.
- 43 Ministry of Health Media Release – 6 August 2003.
- 44 Ministry of Health Media Release – 18 August 2003.
- 45 Ministry of Health Media Release – 16 September 2003.
- 46 Ministry of Health Media Release – 23 September 2003.
- 47 Ministry of Health Media Release – 29 January 2004.
- 48 Ministry of Health Media Release – 24 May 2004.
- 49 Ministry of Health Media Release – 12 October 2004.
- 50 Muller, M. 1999. Detection of *Culex gelidus* in Brisbane. *Bulletin of the Mosquito Control Association of Australia* 11(2).
- 51 O'Meara, G.F. The Asian Tiger Mosquito in Florida. ENY-632, September 1997. University of Florida, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences.
- 52 Porshnikoff, S. Summary of *Aedes albopictus* introductions and surveillance in California. *Epidemiological Bulletin* – Fall 2001, County of San Mateo.
- 53 Russell, R.C. and Kay, B.H. 2004. Medical entomology: changes in the spectrum of mosquito-borne disease in Australia and other vector threats and risks, 1972-2004. *Australian Journal of Entomology* 43(3): 271-282.
- 54 Sandlant, G. 2004. Mosquitoes and West Nile Virus – a threat to New Zealand? [abstract]. Entomological Society of New Zealand 53rd Annual Conference, 13-16 April 2004, Nelson.
- 55 Savage, H.M.; Ezike, V.I.; Nwankwo, A.C.N.; Spiegel, R. and Miller, B.R. 1992. First record of breeding populations of *Aedes albopictus* in continental Africa: Implications for arboviral transmission. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* 8(1): 101-103
- 56 Savignac, R.; Back, C. and Bourassa, J. 2002. Biological Notes on *Ochlerotatus japonicus* and other mosquito species new to Quebec [abstract]. In: *The Abstract Book of A Joint Meeting: 68th Annual Meeting of the American Mosquito Control Association and the West Central Mosquito and Vector Control Association* 16-21 February 2002, p. 21-22, Abstract number 16PS03.
- 57 Schaffner, F.; Besnard, G.; Chouin, S.; Karch, S.; Mathieu, B.; Rey, D. and Guilloteau, J. 2004. Surveillance and control of exotic mosquitoes in metropolitan France. International Congress of Entomology, 15-21 August 2004, Brisbane.
- 58 Service, M.W. 1993. Mosquito Ecology – Field Sampling Methods. Second edition. Chapman and Hall. 988pp.
- 59 Snow, K. and Ramsdale, C. 2002. Mosquitoes and tyres. *Biologist* 49(2): 49-52.
- 60 Spurr, E.B. and Sandlant, G.R. 2004. Risk Assessment for the Establishment of West Nile Virus in New Zealand. Landcare Research Science Series No. 25. Manaaki Whenua Press, Lincoln, New Zealand. 27pp.
- 61 Stowaways No. 2 October 2002, p. 6-7. <http://stowaways.landcareresearch.co.nz>
- 62 U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, *Harmful Non-Indigenous Species in the United States*, OTA-F-565 (Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, September 1993). 391pp.
- 63 Walter Reed Biosystematics Unit. 2001 Systematic Catalog of Culicidae. <http://www.mosquitocatalog.org/main.asp>

- 64 Weinstein, P.; Laird, M. and Browne, G. 1997. Exotic and Endemic Mosquitoes in New Zealand as Potential Arbovirus Vectors. Occasional paper, Ministry of Health, Wellington. 16pp.
- 65 Whelan, P. 1998. Exotic mosquitoes arriving on seagoing vessels: recommended inspection and eradication procedures. *Bulletin of the Mosquito Control Association of Australia* 10(2).
- 66 Whelan, P.; Hayes, G.; Carter, J.; Wilson, A. and Haigh, B. Detection of the exotic mosquito *Culex gelidus* in the Northern Territory. *Communicable Diseases Intelligence* 24: Supplement March 2000.
- 67 Whelan, P.; Hayes, G.; Tucker, G.; Carter, J.; Wilson, A. and Haigh, B. 2001. The detection of exotic mosquitoes in the Northern Territory of Australia. *Arbovirus Research in Australia* 8: 395-404.
- 68 Whelan, P.; Krause, V.; Lamche, G. and Kurucz, N. *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes, vectors for dengue, found in Tennant Creek – Elimination Campaign in progress. *The Northern Territory Disease Control Bulletin* 11(1): 1-3 (March 2004).
- 69 Whelan, P.I.; Russell, R.C.; Hayes, G.; Tucker, G. and Goodwin, G. 2001. Exotic *Aedes* mosquitoes: Onshore detection and elimination in Darwin, Northern Territory. *Communicable Disease Intelligence* 25(4): 283-285.
- 70 Whelan, P. and Tucker, G. 1998. Exotic *Aedes* surveillance and exclusion from the Northern Territory of Australia. *Bulletin of the Mosquito Control Association of Australia* 10(3) Supplement.
- 71 World Health Organization. 2004. International Health Regulations – Working paper for regional consultations. IGWG/IHR/Working paper/12.2003. 12 January 2004.
- 72 <http://www.arbovirus.health.nsw.gov.au/areas/arbovirus/mosquit/culexannulirostris.htm>
- 73 <http://www.arbovirus.health.nsw.gov.au/areas/arbovirus/mosquit/culexquinquefasciatus.htm>
- 74 <http://www.arbovirus.health.nsw.gov.au/areas/arbovirus/mosquit/aedesnotoscriptus.htm>
- 75 <http://www.arbovirus.health.nsw.gov.au/areas/arbovirus/mosquit/aedesvigilax.htm>
- 76 <http://www.medent.usyd.edu.au/photos/mosquitoesofaustralia.htm#aeau>
- 77 <http://www.arbovirus.health.nsw.gov.au/areas/arbovirus/mosquit/aescamptorhynchus.htm>
- 78 http://www.ento.csiro.au/aicn/system/c_1178.htm
- 79 http://www.ento.csiro.au/aicn/name_s/b_2849.htm
- 80 <http://www.arbovirus.health.nsw.gov.au/areas/arbovirus/mosquit/culexmolestus.htm>
- 81 <http://www.medent.usyd.edu.au/photos/mosquitoesofaustralia.htm#tpta>
- 82 <http://www.medent.usyd.edu.au/photos/mosquitoesofaustralia.htm>
- 83 <http://www.mcaa.org.au>