

# PHO Status Report Change Notes

#	Description of change/addition/note	Section, page (if applicable)	Rationale
<b>Changes for December report - 5th December 2023</b>			
19	<p>SCREENING_STATUS value '<b>UNDER SPECIALIST</b>' has been ADDED for participants</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>With pathway status 'under specialist care' and Next Expected Event of Colposcopy visit</li> <li>with pathway status 'Under gynae oncology' AND Next Expected Event and Next Expected Event Due Date are BLANK</li> </ul>	<p>Variables table, page 11</p> <p>2nd table, screening status and corresponding values, page 24</p>	To make it easier to separate out which participants need to be followed up by primary care and which are with a specialist - so no action by primary care is required.
20	<p>SCREENING_STATUS value 'MAY REQUIRE FOLLOW UP' has been CHANGED to '<b>REQUIRE FOLLOW UP</b>' and the categories from #19 above removed to be 'UNDER SPECIALIST'.</p>	<p>Variables table, page 11</p> <p>2nd table, screening status and corresponding values, page 22-24</p>	As above
<b>Changes for November report - 15th November 2023</b>			
15	<p>PHO_NAME will be removed - this was added in error on the first report.</p>	N/A	The PHO name is in the filename.
16	<p>SCREENING_STATUS value 'Due soon for primary screen' has been collapsed into 'Not yet due for primary screen'</p>	<p>Variables table, page 11</p> <p>2nd table, screening status and corresponding values, page 23</p>	Received feedback that this category was not useful as it covered a comparatively short timeframe.
17	<p>Screening status derivation has been updated for participants with <b>pathway status = 5year recall AND next expected event = HPV screening test</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If they were required to have a follow up test WITHIN 3 years (that being the standard follow up time for routine screening on the LBC-based programme) e.g. if cytology was unsatisfactory so needed to be repeated in 6-12 weeks or if the cytology was negative but it was a participant's first screen, so they needed to return in 1 year – for these cases the the screening status derivation has been updated to take these shorter recall timeframes into account.</li> </ul>	<p>2nd table, screening status and corresponding values, page 23</p>	There were discrepancies between the screening status value and the next expected event due date, which needed to be updated.
18	<p>PRACTICE_HPI_FACILITY_ID - this will not be included on the this report or future reports.</p>	<p>Variables table, page 3</p>	In the NES data which we receive centrally, facility-level enrolments is not a mandatory field, unlike organisation-level enrolments which are a mandatory field - and are therefore complete for all participants. The facility-level

information would not be complete for all participants.

**Changes from the initial specification dated 1st September 2023**

1	<p>Name change AND field values changes to the following variable:</p> <p>PHO_ID</p> <p>Field value example: G05487-G</p>	<p>Variables table, page 3</p>	<p>To align to using HPI IDs, specifically the PHO HPI ORG ID from the National Enrolment Service collection - this is the PHO ID with whom the NCSP participants displayed on each respective report are enrolled e.g. G05487-G</p>
2	<p>Two additional variables regarding participants in HPV Research Studies:</p> <p>IN_RESEARCH_STUDY</p> <p><del>IN_RESEARCH_STUDY_UNDER_REVIEW</del></p>	<p>Variables table, page 6</p>	<p>To indicate if participants have taken part in HPV research studies and if their NCSP data is under review. This means the NCSP-Register data cannot be provided until the review has taken place.</p>
3	<p>Name change AND field values changes to the following variable:</p> <p>IN_RESEARCH_STUDY_UNDER_REVIEW <b>is now</b> UNDER_REVIEW</p> <p>Field values are now:</p> <p>Y - Clinical pathway review</p> <p>Y - Research study</p> <p>Y - Cancer</p> <p>N</p>	<p>Variables table, page 6</p>	<p>This is due to the report needing to represent all records which are under review - <b>where the data is under review no screening information is able to be provided in the report, so is intentionally left blank.</b></p>
4	<p><b>Note:</b> LAST_EVENT and LAST_EVENT_DATE variables will be removed from the report</p>	<p>Variables table, pages 7-8</p>	<p>This is due to the last event data was the last 'expected' event rather than the actual last event which lead to misleading combinations of screening information in the report. We will investigate useful options for next month's report.</p>
5	<p>Name change to the following variable:</p> <p>LAST_EVENT_RESULT <b>is now</b> LAST_TEST_RESULT</p>	<p>Variables table, page 8</p>	<p>To make it clearer that the event result is, in fact, a test result.</p>
6	<p>New variable added to the report – LAST_TEST_RESULT_DATE</p>	<p>Variables table, page 9</p>	<p>To go alongside the test result information to support timely provision of screening services</p>
7	<p>Name changes to the following variables:</p> <p>LAST_EVENT_FACILITY_NAME <b>is now</b> LAST_TEST_RESULT_FACILITY_NAME</p> <p>LAST_EVENT_HPI_FACILITY_ID <b>is now</b> LAST_TEST_RESULT_HPI_FACILITY_ID</p>	<p>Variables table, page 9</p>	<p>To make it clearer that the facility and organisation information is tied to where the specimen was taken for the associated test result.</p>

	LAST_EVENT_HPI_ORG_ID is now LAST_TEST_RESULT_HPI_ORG_ID		
8	WITHDRAWN_DATE definition updated = The date at which the participant's withdrawal request <b>is received by</b> the NCSP-Register, however if this date is blank the date will be the date the withdrawal was actioned. If neither are available but it is known that the participant has withdrawn due to programme status, then this date field will be blank and no screening information available - except to identify the participant has withdrawn.	Variables table, page 16	To ensure, from the participant's perspective, that they are withdrawn as soon as they make the request and, therefore, that no data is shown in this report.
9	Where a participant has a pathway status of 'Withdrawn (pending)' – they will have a corresponding screening status of 'Withdrawn' and a Programme Status of 'Withdrawn'	2nd table, screening status and corresponding values, page 23	To align this report with the participant's withdrawal request.
10	There may be some records where a participant has a PATHWAY_STATUS of 'Enrolled' and with SCREEENING_STATUS but with no corresponding due date. Please proceed using other screening information and the information in your Practice Management Systems.	N/A	This is due to some issues with the original source data on the previous register.
11	There may be some records where a participant has multiple test results with the same effective date.	Variables table, pages 8-10	Where this is the case the results and result facilities will be in a comma-separated concatenated list.
12	The Quintile variable contain some blank values.	Variables table, page 5	This is due to where deprivation index is 0 in the NHI data; 0 is used when the population isn't large enough to be statistically reliable.
13	For a pathway status of registered or notified, a corresponding programme status of enrolled is also allowed.	2nd table, screening status and corresponding values	This is in accordance with the clinical pathway.